WORKSHOP REPORT

Workshop Title: Characteristics of Violent Extremist Offenders
Moderator: John Scott, United Kingdom
Leader 1: David Hansen, Norway
Leader 2: Liesbeth van der Heide, Holland
Leader 3: Maarten van Leyenhorst, Holland
Topic Speaker: Pedro das Neves, Portugal
Participants: 22 people from 10 different countries
Notes: John Scott

Impression: The workshop was participative from the very beginning with the introductory exercise revealing a very wide range of backgrounds, interests and skills. Concentration levels during the presentations were consistently high. The atmosphere was one of learning and a willingness to share issues, doubts and problems as well as solutions. Surprisingly, there were also many opportunities for laughter. The exercises in small groups led to lively discussions of definitions and the many differences between countries. The presentations were well received and worked for participants new to the subject and for those with high levels of experience in the field because the material was relevant and provided illustrations from many different jurisdictions and cultures.

Introduction
The workshop leaders’ different roles enabled them to bring a wide range of research and experience to the workshop. David Hansen currently works as Associate Professor at the University College of Norwegian correctional Service (KRUS) and conducts research relating to Muslims in Norwegian Prisons. He teaches and supervises about radicalization in prisons and works to prevent offenders being radicalized. Maarten van Leyenhorst works as a trainer on violent extremism and risk assessment for the Dutch Ministry of Justice and Safety. He has been involved with two EU funded projects and is actively involved in the further development of VERA-2R, the risk assessment instrument for violent extremism. He has been an advisor for the Dutch probation service. Liesbeth van der Heide is from the University of Leiden and is a Research Fellow at the International Centre for Counter-Terrorism, The Hague, where she is co-ordinator of ICCT’s work on rehabilitation and reintegration of violent extremist offenders. Her responsibilities include the development and implementation of a modular training course for prison officials and policymakers. As a former Chief Probation Officer, John Scott had been Head Of Public Protection in the UK’s Home Office and was able to contribute different perspectives. Radicalisation and the
impact of violent extremism were examined across the full range of motivations from political, religious and other motivations.

**Workshop Methods**

- Semi-structured, flexible and interactive curriculum
- Trainer presentations, questions
- Case study exercises, discussion starters and observations to facilitate learning
- Small group and large group exercises and discussions
- Examination of the range and scope of risk assessment instruments in prisons and probation, indicators and drawbacks
- Participants to play a key role in sharing their national issues, experiences, knowledge and ideas.

**Summary of Presentations**

**Session 1** - David Hansen focussed upon: Sharing the expertise and roles in the group. An introduction to radicalisation in prisons – the importance of definitions of radicalisation, extremism and terrorism. Potential for prisons to represent conducive environments for radicalisation. Implications of return of ‘foreign terrorist fighters’. Factors for radicalisation in prisons. Religiosity, conversion or radicalisation?

**Session 2** - Liesbeth Van der Heide covered: Returnees and the phenomenon of contemporary radicalisation – the evolution of the threat of terrorism in recent years. The motivation of terrorists. The scale of the current problems in different countries. The five stages of intervention and rehabilitation work in prisons and probation settings.

**Session 3** - Liesbeth Van der Heide led on: Understanding ideology and extremism – posing questions about whether all terrorists are ideologically driven in an historical context. Factors in terrorist incidents – psychological disorder, drugs, alcohol and personality traits. Needs, narrative and network related to different types of terrorist and implications for intervening in prisons and rehabilitation after release.

**Session 4** - Maarten Van Leyenhorst’s session was: How to deal with and communicate with VEOS – what inhibits communication, polarisation and beliefs. Experience was exchanged about interviewing terrorists, the role of Imams/religious leaders and the challenges of communicating with returning foreign fighters.


**Session 6a** - Pedro das Neves led a session on: the R2PRIS project, its tools and progression.

**Session 6b** - David Hansen concluded the presentations by: A look at best practice, manuals, and programmes. Specific subtopics included:

- Management of radical inmates
- FTFs in prison
- De-radicalization and dis-engagement principles
Summary of Group Outcomes

The case, system comparisons and scenario exercises were very important to the process of understanding definitions and attitudes. The confidentiality and sensitivity of the materials and presentations mean that the details of the presentations and outcomes of the exercises cannot be shared publicly.

Key Learning Points

The workshop’s key learning points were:

1. To avoid confusing religiosity with radicalisation.
2. In the prison context radicalisation is often about power and operates in the same way as gangs.
3. Staff need to work with religion rather than against it – a danger is that staff are frightened by radicalisation and become frozen.
4. Clear understanding of definitions are the starting point for assessment and being balanced in weighing up the factors.
5. Risk assessment is vital at each stage of decision making and needs to be structured, sound and sensible. Avoid binary thinking. ‘Most people who are radical do not commit violence – most people who commit terrorist acts are not radical’. Able to assess low and high categories.
6. It is important to understand the evolving nature of the threat of extremist violence and to differentiate the radical and the criminal. The threat is currently diffuse – foreign fighters and returnees – home grown terrorist groups – lone action and sympathisers – polarisation process (far right and migration/refugees).
7. The different reasons for becoming terrorists are to be seen in dimensions:
   - Ideological <> Apolitical
   - Leader/recruiter high status <> Follower
   - Socially well adapted <> Marginalised – weak social development, delinquent
   - High on sensation seeking <> Low
8. The propaganda of extremists is effective across the dimensions above – ‘Sometimes people with the worst past create the best future’. The Zero to Hero phenomenon.
9. The 5 stages of intervention (not reproduced for confidentiality reasons).
10. The scale of the problem – the FBI estimate that 100,000 terrorists are held in prisons around the world.
11. Prison can be the ‘Incubator of Change’ or ‘University of Terrorism’ or ‘Deep Freeze’.
12. Right wing extremism poses severe problems for prison authorities e.g. Anders Brievik in Norway.
13. Goals of rehabilitation vary in different jurisdictions – to eliminate the ideological legitimacy of violence; to de-radicalise and to disengage (belief and behaviour); to prevent recidivism; to prevent involvement in further attacks and engagement with other terrorists; to comply with conditions of parole or probation supervision; to promote good citizenship. It is important to remember that some offenders are convicted of ‘fringe’ terrorist offences
(receiving relatively short sentences) and avoidance of escalation is a legitimate supervisory
goal.

14. Only a coherent programme will succeed and 80% of internetions will be the same as for
other offender types – NB the parallels with gangs and gang violence.

15. The ‘three N approach’ – Needs Narrative Network - is helpful to understand the
search/quest for psychological significance.

16. The RRAP Tool set introduced by Pedro das Neves – provides a helicopter view for
managers; a frontline Behavioural Observation guide for practitioners; Individual
Radicalisation Screening tool and a Critical Incidents Resources Assessment for institutions.

Key Practice Issues for the Future

1. Combatting the fear that many staff feel about working with extremist violence offenders
and their lack of confidence in working with Muslim inmates. Tip toeing around offenders
because of the fear of litigation.

2. The need to have understanding of different forms of the Muslim religion and a balanced
approach to recruiting spiritual leaders into projects and/prisons.

3. Begin planning for the implications of the end of the Caliphate during 2018 and the possible
resurgence of Al Qaeda.

4. The far-right links to populist movements across Europe threaten an increased pool of
activists prepared to move to the extremist position – trends to be monitored by prison and
probation practitioners.

5. Evaluation of the rehabilitation programmes is an urgent need for investment. The lack of
evidence (other than small scale internal evaluation) restricts development.

6. Main challenges for rehabilitation are – motivation, working in groups may increase status,
separating radicalised individuals from vulnerable inmates and ‘game playing’ by intelligent
radicals.

7. Consideration to be given to the role of restorative justice in re-entry programmes, using a
mediator to support accountability, engage with testimony of victims, set up family group
conferences, and demonstrate that the community cares and has a stake in combating
extremism.

8. Using group expertise to advise on how to communicate, avoid manipulation and practice
face to face skills to build relationships and involve relevant Imams e.g. France experience.

9. Continued development of assessment tools to help prison managers and practitioners
assess risk – share outcomes and data to improve profiling and understanding of complex
risk factors.

10. There is a desire amongst practitioners to go deeper into the issues and learn from each
other.
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